UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,193	08/30/2006	Mohamed Azimane	US04 0145 US3	5900
65913 NXP, B.V.	7590 02/19/200	9	EXAMINER	
NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			MCMAHON, DANIEL F	
	M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY DRIVE			PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE, CA 95131			2117	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/19/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/591,193	AZIMANE ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	DANIEL F. MCMAHON	2117		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 L</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the practice under the practice.	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 30 August 2006 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the	a) accepted or b) dobjected or b) drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 2117

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1 – 9, 11, 14, 17, and 19 – 24 have been amended.

Claims 1 – 24 are pending.

Response to Amendment

- 1. Objection to claim 9 for informalities is withdrawn in light of the amendments to the claims.
- 2. Rejection of claims 9 and 17 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, is withdrawn in light of the amendments to the claims.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments, regarding objections to the specification, have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the objection to the specification has been withdrawn.
- 4. Applicant's arguments, regarding amended claims, filed December 04, 2008, with respect to the rejection of claims 1, 2, 4 13, 16 20, 23, and 24 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) and claims 14, 15, 21, and 22 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of amended subject matter.

Art Unit: 2117

Drawings

5. The drawings are objected to because descriptive labels other than numerical are needed for figures 3-5. See 37 CFR 1.84(o). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 7. Claims 1, 9, and 17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The amended limitation "wherein the external clock signal comprises a duty cycle that is different from a duty cycle of the internal clock signal" is not supported by the specification filed August 30, 2006. Applicant is unpersuasive in the citation of page 4, lines 12 22. Page 4, lines 12 22 discloses an "external control... using the test system" and "the test system implemented for modifying the duty cycle". The cited specification does not disclose the modification of

duty cycle of the internal clock signal independent of the duty cycle of the external clock signal. The specification discloses a test system that has the ability to control the duty cycle of the internal clock signal though the modification of the duty cycle of the external clock system, though the functionality of the external controls. Examiner understands that any manipulation of duty cycle for the internal clock signal and external clock signal is performed by the test system, external to the device under test.

For purposes of examination the examiner assumes that during a test mode the self-timed memory is powered by an external clock signal.

- 8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 9. Claims 2 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 10. Regarding claim 2, the language "further providing switching provision" is ambiguous and fails to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Examiner is unclear if the intended meaning is "a switching provision" or "switching a provision".
- 11. Regarding claims 3 and 4, the language "duty cycle of the internal memory block" is ambiguous. One of ordinarily skill in the art would understand "duty cycle" to be a

Art Unit: 2117

characteristic of a signal with a periodic or repetitive nature. It is unclear how applicant intends the internal memory block to have a duty cycle. The claim therefor fails to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Prior Art Rejections

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 6

14. Regarding claim 1, Churchill teaches: A method for providing an external clock signal (figure 2, clk) to an internal memory block (figure 2, element 202, 204, 206, 208, 214, 216, 218) of a self-timed memory (figure 2, element 200), the method comprising: receiving an internal clock signal (figure 9, element 916) from a clock monitor of the self-timed memory (figure 9, element 902; figure 11c, element 1102); receiving an external clock signal (figure 2, clk); receiving a control signal (figure 9, element 912); and providing, in dependence upon the control signal, the internal clock signal to the internal memory block during a normal mode of operation of the self-timed memory (column 18, lines 6 – 12), and the external clock signal to the internal memory block during a test mode of the self-timed memory (column 19, lines 5 – 10). Churchill does not explicitly teach: wherein the external clock signal comprises a duty cycle that is different from a duty cycle of the internal clock signal.

Irrinki teaches: wherein the external clock signal comprises a duty cycle that is different from a duty cycle of the internal clock signal (column 4, lines 13 – 15; claim 2).

A person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, would find it obvious to combine the teachings of Churchill: a method for providing an external clock signal to an internal memory block of a self-timed memory comprising receiving an internal clock signal and receiving an external clock signal with the teaching of Irrinki: an

Application/Control Number: 10/591,193

Art Unit: 2117

external clock signal comprises a duty cycle that is different from a duty cycle of the internal clock signal for the purpose of performing propagation delay measurements (column 3, lines 39 - 46). Propagation delay testing is well known in the art (column 3, lines 39 - 46). Modification of the duty cycle of an external clock signal is well known in art for the purpose of propagation delay testing (column 4, lines 10 - 24). One of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, would have recognized that applying the known technique to the known device would have yielded a predictable result.

Page 7

- 15. Regarding claim 2, Churchill additionally teaches: switching provision of the external clock signal received during test mode to different internal memory blocks according to a predetermined test pattern (column 18, lines 46 48; column 19, lines 5 10). And in view of the motivation previously stated above, for claim 1, the claim is rejected.
- 16. Regarding claim 3, Churchill teaches the limitations of the parent claim, claim 2. Churchill additionally teaches: the delay circuit programmed for generating an internal clock with a duty cycle less then 50% (column 17, lines 33 50). Churchill additionally teaches: an external clock with a configurable duty cycle (column 19, lines 5 10). Churchill does not explicitly teach: the duty cycle of the external clock signal received during test mode comprising a duty cycle lower than a 50% duty cycle of the internal memory block.

Art Unit: 2117

Irrinki teaches: the duty cycle of the external clock signal received during test mode comprising a duty cycle lower than a 50% duty cycle of the internal memory block (column 3, lines 39 – 46). And in view of the motivation previously stated above, for claim 1, the claim is rejected.

- 17. Regarding claim 4, Churchill additionally teaches: the duty cycle of the external clock signal received during test mode comprises a duty cycle higher than a 50% duty cycle of the internal memory block (column 18, lines 46 48; column 19, lines 5 10). And in view of the motivation previously stated above, for claim 1, the claim is rejected.
- 18. Regarding claim 5, Churchill additionally teaches: providing internal clock signal to the internal memory block in an absence of the control signal (column 19, lines 5 10). And in view of the motivation previously stated above, for claim 1, the claim is rejected.
- 19. Regarding claim 6, Churchill additionally teaches: receiving a control signal indicating initiation of the test mode (column 19, lines 5 10). And in view of the motivation previously stated above, for claim 1, the claim is rejected.
- 20. Regarding claim 7, Churchill additionally teaches: receiving a control signal indicating termination of the test mode (column 19, lines 5 10). And in view of the motivation previously stated above, for claim 1, the claim is rejected.

Art Unit: 2117

21. Regarding claim 8, Churchill additionally teaches: receiving the control signal during the test mode (column 19, lines 5 - 10). And in view of the motivation previously stated above, for claim 1, the claim is rejected.

22. Regarding claim 9. Churchill teaches: A self-timed memory (figure 2) comprising: an internal memory block (figure 2, element 202, 204, 206, 208, 214, 216, 218); a clock monitor (figure 9, 902) for receiving an external clock signal (figure 2, clk) and for providing a clock signal (figure 9, element 902) to the internal memory block (figure 2, element 202, 204, 206, 208, 214, 216, 218); a test system (figure 9, element 908, 910) interposed between the clock monitor (figure 9, 902) and the internal memory block (figure 2, element 202, 204, 206, 208, 214, 216, 218). The test system comprising: an internal clock signal input port (figure 9, element 908) in signal communication with the clock monitor for receiving an internal clock signal (figure 9, element 916); an external clock signal input port (figure 9, element 910) for receiving the external clock signal (figure 9, element 914); a control signal input port (figure 9, element 908) for receiving a control signal (figure 9, element 912); an output port in signal communication with the internal memory block (figure 2, element 222); and a multiplexer in signal communication with the internal clock signal input port, the external clock signal input port, the control signal input port, and the output port (figure 9, element 908, 910); wherein the multiplexer is configured to receive the internal clock signal, the external clock signal, and the control signal; and wherein the multiplexer is further configured to provide, in dependence upon the control signal, the internal clock signal via the output

Art Unit: 2117

port to the internal memory block during a normal mode of operation of the self-timed memory (column 18, lines 6 - 12), and the external clock signal to the internal memory block during a test mode of the self-timed memory (column 19, lines 5 - 10). Churchill does not explicitly teach: wherein the external clock signal comprises a duty cycle that is different from a duty cycle of the internal clock signal.

Irrinki teaches: wherein the external clock signal comprises a duty cycle that is different from a duty cycle of the internal clock signal (column 4, lines 13 – 15; claim 2). And in view of the motivation previously stated above, for claim 1, the claim is rejected.

- 23. Regarding claim 10, Churchill additionally teaches: the clock monitor comprises an input port for receiving the external clock signal (figure 9, element 902, signal 914) and wherein the input port is connected to the external clock signal input port of the test system (figure 9, element 908. 910, signal 914). And in view of the motivation previously stated above, for claim 1, the claim is rejected.
- 24. Regarding claim 11, Churchill additionally teaches: test circuitry in signal communication with the test system (figure 2, element 212), the test circuitry for providing the control signal to the test system and for providing the external clock signal to the test system during the test mode (column 5, lines 13 25).

Art Unit: 2117

25. Regarding claim 12, Churchill additionally teaches: the internal memory block comprises an address decoder (figure 2, element 204, 208). And in view of the motivation previously stated above, for claim 1, the claim is rejected.

- 26. Regarding claim 13, Churchill additionally teaches: the internal memory block comprises a sense amplifier (figure 2, element 214). And in view of the motivation previously stated above, for claim 1, the claim is rejected.
- 27. Regarding claim 16, Churchill additionally teaches: the internal memory block comprises input/output latches (figure 2, element 216, 218). And in view of the motivation previously stated above, for claim 1, the claim is rejected.
- 28. Regarding claim 17, Churchill teaches: A self-timed memory (figure 2) comprising: an internal memory block (figure 2, element 202, 204, 206, 208, 214, 216, 218); a clock monitor (figure 9, 902) for receiving an external clock signal (figure 2, clk) and for providing a clock signal (figure 9, element 902) to the internal memory block (figure 2, element 202, 204, 206, 208, 214, 216, 218); a test system (figure 9, element 908, 910) interposed between the clock monitor (figure 9, 902) and the internal memory block(figure 2, element 202, 204, 206, 208, 214, 216, 218). The test system comprising: an internal clock signal input port (figure 9, element 908) in signal communication with the clock monitor for receiving an internal clock signal (figure 9, element 916); an external clock signal input port (figure 9, element 910) for receiving the

Art Unit: 2117

external clock signal (figure 9, element 914); a control signal input port (figure 9, element 908) for receiving a control signal (figure 9, element 912); an output port in signal communication with the internal memory block (figure 2, element 222); and control circuitry in signal communication with the internal clock signal input port, the external clock signal input port, the control signal input port and the output port (figure 9, element 908, 910); wherein the control circuitry is configured to receive the internal clock signal, the external clock signal, and the control signal; and wherein the control circuitry is further configured to provide, in dependence upon the control signal, the internal clock signal via the output port to the internal memory block during a normal mode of operation of the self-timed memory (column 18, lines 6-12), and for providing the external clock signal to the internal memory block during a test mode of the self-timed memory (column 19, lines 5-10). Churchill does not explicitly teach: wherein the external clock signal comprises a duty cycle that is different from a duty cycle of the internal clock signal.

Irrinki teaches: wherein the external clock signal comprises a duty cycle that is different from a duty cycle of the internal clock signal (column 4, lines 13 – 15; claim 2). And in view of the motivation previously stated above, for claim 1, the claim is rejected.

29. Regarding claim 18, Churchill additionally teaches: the control circuitry comprises a multiplexer (figure 9, element 908, 910). And in view of the motivation previously stated above, for claim 1, the claim is rejected.

Art Unit: 2117

30. Regarding claim 19, Churchill additionally teaches: the internal memory block comprises an address decoder (figure 2, element 204, 208). And in view of the motivation previously stated above, for claim 1, the claim is rejected.

- 31. Regarding claim 20, Churchill additionally teaches: the internal memory block comprises a sense amplifier (figure 2, element 214). And in view of the motivation previously stated above, for claim 1, the claim is rejected.
- 32. Regarding claim 23, Churchill additionally teaches: the internal memory block comprises input/output latches (figure 2, element 216, 218). And in view of the motivation previously stated above, for claim 1, the claim is rejected.
- 33. Regarding claim 24, Churchill additionally teaches: test circuitry in signal communication with the test system (figure 2, element 212), the test circuitry for providing the control signal to the test system and for providing the external clock signal to the test system during the test mode (column 5, lines 13 25). And in view of the motivation previously stated above, for claim 1, the claim is rejected.
- 34. Claims 14, 15, 21, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Churchill and Irrinki, in view of Choi, U.S. Patent 6,324,115 (herein Choi).

35. Regarding claim 14, Churchill and Irrinki teach the limitations of the parent claim, claim 9. Churchill additionally teaches: the internal memory block comprising a column decoder (figure 2, 208). Churchill does not explicitly teach: the internal memory block comprising a bank decoder.

Choi teaches: an internal memory block comprising a bank decoder (figure 1, element 160).

A person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, would find it obvious to combine the teachings of Churchill, a self-timed memory comprising an internal memory block, and a column decoder, as cited above, with the teaching of Choi, a bank decoder, for the purpose of addressing memory (column 1, lines 19-27). A self-timed memory is a well known device in the art. A bank decoder for addressing internal memory blocks is a well known technique (column 1, lines 38-41). One of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention would have recognized that applying the known technique to the known device would have yielded the predictable result of addressable memory (abstract).

36. Regarding claim 15, Churchill and Irrinki teach the limitations of the parent claim, claim 9. Churchill teaches the limitations of the parent claim, claim 9. Churchill does not explicitly teach: t the predictable result of addressable memory (abstract). he internal memory block comprising a precharge and discharge circuitry.

Choi teaches: the internal memory block comprising a precharge and discharge circuitry (figure 1, element 310, 320).

Art Unit: 2117

A person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, would find it obvious to combine the teachings of Churchill, a self-timed memory comprising an internal memory block, as cited above, with the teaching of Choi, precharge and discharge circuitry. A self-timed memory is a well known device in the art. Precharge and discharge circuitry for accessing internal memory blocks is a well known technique (column 2, lines 51 - 58). One of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention would have recognized that applying the known technique to the known device would have yielded predictable the predictable result of addressable memory (abstract).

37. Regarding claim 21, Churchill and Irrinki teach the limitations of the parent claim, claim 20. Churchill additionally teaches: the internal memory block comprising a column decoder (figure 2, 208). Churchill does not explicitly teach: the internal memory block comprising a bank decoder.

Choi teaches: an internal memory block comprising a bank decoder (figure 1, element 160).

A person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, would find it obvious to combine the teachings of Churchill, a self-timed memory comprising an internal memory block, and a column decoder, as cited above, with the teaching of Choi; a bank decoder, for the purpose of addressing memory (column 1, lines 19-27). A self-timed memory is a well known device in the art. A bank decoder for addressing internal memory blocks is a well known technique (column 1, lines 38-41). One of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention would have recognized that applying

the known technique to the known device would have yielded predictable the predictable result of addressable memory (abstract).

38. Regarding claim 22, Churchill and Irrinki teach the limitations of the parent claim, claim 21. Churchill teaches the limitations of the parent claim, claim 9. Churchill does not explicitly teach: the internal memory block comprising a precharge and discharge circuitry.

Choi teaches: the internal memory block comprising a precharge and discharge circuitry (figure 1, element 310, 320).

A person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, would find it obvious to combine the teachings of Churchill, a self-timed memory comprising an internal memory block, as cited above, with the teaching of Choi; precharge and discharge circuitry. A self-timed memory is a well known device in the art. Precharge and discharge circuitry for accessing internal memory blocks is a well known technique (column 2, lines 51 - 58). One of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention would have recognized that applying the known technique to the known device would have yielded predictable the predictable result of addressable memory (abstract).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANIEL F. MCMAHON whose telephone number is (571)270-3232. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8am-5pm(EST).

Art Unit: 2117

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jacques Louis-Jacques can be reached on (571)272-6962. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Cynthia Britt/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2117

Dfm 02/10/09